


### Q1 The program review process I took part-in was:


Answered: 29 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Academic Area	37.93%	11
Service Area	62.07%	18
TOTAL		29

## Q2 The academic data set is useful for conducting my program review

Answered: 9 Skipped: 20



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly agree	33.33%	3
Agree	55.56%	5
Neither agree nor disagree	11.11%	1
Disagree	0.00%	0
Strongly disagree	0.00%	0
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>9</b>


### Q3 Please comment on the academic data files and provide any feedback on ways to improve the data set.

Answered: 5 Skipped: 24


#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	On the Enrollment and Fill Rates table, we currently receive this information organized in three different sections: Day, Extended Day and Online. Could we add a column with the totals?	9/13/2019 4:26 PM
2	I just think it's a good check up to see what the numbers are. Sometimes they are surprising.	9/12/2019 8:02 PM
3	I have to make a lot of changes to the data before it is usable	9/6/2019 11:37 AM
4	The files are easy to read and include all the information necessary. However, I am not sure if program review can be conducted in any other way. The information is relevant and helpful to complete the program review process as it is being implemented now.	9/5/2019 1:40 PM
5	Data files should be specific for the classes in the program, and not just a list of classes in a subject matter. Many programs have classes from multiple different subject areas, so having the data for all of those classes in one file would help greatly.	9/5/2019 12:46 PM

### Q4 Please rate your level of agreement with the following statements:

Answered: 18 Skipped: 11



## 2018-19 Program Review Process Evaluation



	STRONGLY DISAGREE	DISAGREE	NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	AGREE	STRONGLY AGREE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Support was available to answer any program review questions	0.00% 0	5.56% 1	11.11% 2	55.56% 10	27.78% 5	18	4.06
I was given enough time to complete the program review process	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11.11% 2	72.22% 13	16.67% 3	18	4.06
The program review process is a valuable planning tool	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	38.89% 7	55.56% 10	5.56% 1	18	3.67
The program review process was helpful in improving our program	0.00% 0	5.56% 1	44.44% 8	27.78% 5	22.22% 4	18	3.67
I am proud of the quality of work I did on the program review	0.00% 0	5.56% 1	16.67% 3	55.56% 10	22.22% 4	18	3.94

#	COMMENTS, IF ANY:	DATE
1	The review process has helped write our narrative section of our reports. We have added a narrative summary of project activities using data we use in the evaluation. It also helps with the overview of the progression of tasks that have taken place so far. The information provided also includes number of participants served who are low-income and potential first-generation college students.	9/5/2019 2:34 PM
2	I don't feel confident in the completion of program review. The support is available, but I am unsure if the goals and budget enhancements truly fit my program. Besides technical support, I feel that I need individual support for completing my program review.	9/5/2019 1:46 PM
3	It's a lot of work to justify needed funding just to get denied anyway.	9/5/2019 11:42 AM

## Q5 How would you improve the Program Review process (e.g. comment on data, training, timeline, etc.) ?

Answered: 13 Skipped: 16

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Regular training will be beneficial.	9/18/2019 12:04 PM
2	More training should be available.	9/13/2019 4:28 PM
3	Making the forms more user friendly.	9/13/2019 4:15 PM
4	I wish the deadline wasn't quite so tight.	9/12/2019 8:16 PM
5	Better meeting participation New software (get rid of SPOL) Don't fund items (generally) if they were not in the program review, tied to LOs, tied to other goals; have a budget enhancement request; and completed in a timely manner	9/7/2019 12:15 PM
6	The class success etc data needs to be improved so that day, evening, and online are not in separate groups but joined together so that I do not have to go in and do that myself.	9/6/2019 11:40 AM
7	Refreshers once a year is helpful.	9/5/2019 4:34 PM
8	I believe the program review process should include program goals and objectives addressed in each grant application submitted by Imperial Valley College to the State or Federal Agencies. The Program Review should include the challenges and resolutions for each program faced in implementing their grant applications. Also, the successes in each program should also be addressed.	9/5/2019 2:34 PM
9	The tool we use to complete program review is a bit confusing. Most training is built around understanding how to use the tool rather than training on the content of program review.	9/5/2019 1:46 PM
10	More training will be ideal.	9/5/2019 1:27 PM
11	More transparent feedback after program reviews have been submitted, especially for enhanced budget requests.	9/5/2019 12:47 PM
12	The input process is very time consuming	9/5/2019 12:12 PM
13	I know SPOL has been with us for quite a while, but I'm hoping for a user-friendly and less tedious software in the near future.	9/5/2019 11:29 AM

## Q6 Please provide any feedback on ways to improve the budget enhancement allocation process?

Answered: 9 Skipped: 20

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Does not apply to SSS TRIO Program.	9/18/2019 12:04 PM
2	Can't think of anything at this moment.	9/13/2019 4:28 PM
3	The budget enhancement allocation process has worked very well for us. We have gotten some much needed resources recently. These resources will ultimately be reflected in student success. We are working to document this.	9/12/2019 8:16 PM
4	Have a key SEMPC member at each meeting to guide the committees through the process and get consistent results.	9/7/2019 12:15 PM
5	The Program Review should include their grant share of unliquidated obligations that remain in each grant - expected obligated before the end of each grant - current budget period proposed funds might be utilized. .	9/5/2019 2:34 PM
6	Again, the tool is very confusing. There are many steps to add budget enhancement. I thought I followed the appropriate steps, but it doesn't seem that I have. The idea of tying the goal with SLO's PLO's to budget enhancement is appropriate; the process is simply confusing.	9/5/2019 1:46 PM
7	More transparency on how the funds are being allocated.	9/5/2019 1:27 PM
8	Make it more transparent.	9/5/2019 12:47 PM
9	No notifications are made to provide feedback on why or why not funding was given.	9/5/2019 12:12 PM

## Q7 As we evaluate SPOL and other software for program review and planning efforts, what key features or enhancements would you like any system to have?

Answered: 8 Skipped: 21

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	User friendly.	9/18/2019 12:04 PM
2	We should have easy access to previous data and reports.	9/13/2019 4:28 PM
3	It would be nice to be able to pull out a cohesive document for records, review and sharing with other faculty.	9/12/2019 8:16 PM
4	Ability to change based on how we would like to see parts of program review; ability to have required fields so you cannot submit incomplete documents.	9/7/2019 12:15 PM
5	The Program Review should include a system or statement made that the projects have developed a comprehensive, timely and systematic approach to providing it services, activities, and objectives of each program.	9/5/2019 2:34 PM
6	Simplicity. Ease of use. A tool that is easy to refer back to. I use SPOL once a year and I never refer to it) because it is confusing to use (I know I should be referring to it throughout the year.	9/5/2019 1:46 PM
7	N/A	9/5/2019 1:27 PM
8	Ease of use	9/5/2019 12:12 PM